UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/090,754	06/04/1998	PRAMOD K. SRIVASTAVA	8449-041	4225
20583 JONES DAY	7590 04/30/200	8	EXAMINER	
222 EAST 41S			YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H	
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/090,754	SRIVASTAVA, PRAMOD K.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CHRISTOPHER H. YAEN	1643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ja</u>	nnuary 2001					
	action is non-final.					
	_					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
- 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>60-69 and 71-95</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>60-67,78-81 and 89-93</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>68,69,71,72,76,77 and 82-85</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>73-75 and 86-88</u> is/are objected to.	-					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	o-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on 1/5/2001 is acknowledged and entered into the record. Accordingly claim 70 is canceled, claims 90-95 are newly added.

Claims 60-69, and 71-95 are pending and examined on the merits.

Claim Objections

Claims 82-88 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 68-75. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 2nd paragraph

Claims 72 and 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, claims 72 and 85 depend on claims 71 and 84, respectively, which recite the manufacture of complexes <u>in vitro</u>. It is not clear how claims 72 and 85, which recite that the complexes are derived from the same person further modifies the claims from which it depends. Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 68-69,71,76-77,82-84, and 94-95 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Palleros *et al* (J. Biol. Chem. May 1994; 269(18):13107-13114). Palleros *et al* teach an isolated ADP-heat shock protein complex (see pg 13110, for example). Claim 76 is also anticipated because the claim is written as a product by process and there is no indication that the product as now claimed is any different from that which is disclosed in Palleros *et al*.

Conclusion

Claims 60-67,78-81, and 89-93 appear to be free of the prior art. Claims 73-75 and 86-88 are objected to for depending on a rejected claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER H. YAEN whose telephone number is (571)272-0838. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/090,754 Page 4

Art Unit: 1643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher H Yaen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643